STRESS: ENDOCRINE PHYSIOLOGY AND PATHOPHYSIOLOGY
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ABSTRACT

Stress constitutes a state of threatened homeostasis triggered by intrinsic or extrinsic adverse forces (stresses) and is counteracted by an intricate repertoire of physiologic and behavioral responses aiming to maintain/reestablish the optimal body equilibrium (eustasis). The adaptive stress response depends upon a highly interconnected neuroendocrine, cellular, and molecular infrastructure, i.e. the stress system. Key components of the stress system are the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and the autonomic nervous system (ANS), which interact with other vital centers in the central nervous system (CNS) and tissues/organs in the periphery to mobilize a successful adaptive response against the imposed stressor(s). Dysregulation of the stress system (hyper- or hypo-activation) in association with potent and/or chronic stress can markedly disrupt the body homeostasis leading to a state of cacostasis or allostasis, with a spectrum of clinical manifestations. This chapter describes the organization and physiology of the stress system, focusing on its interactions with other CNS centers and endocrine axes, and reviews the existing evidence linking stress to pathophysiologic mechanisms implicated in the development of stress-related diseases affecting the endocrine, metabolic, gastrointestinal, and immune systems.

STRESS AND STRESS SYNDROME- DEFINITIONS AND PHENOMENOLOGY

All vital physiologic systems of the body are inherently programmed, through rigorous fine-tuning achieved during evolution, to preserve a predefined steady state (homeostasis or eustasis), which is essential for life and well-being [1-3]. This optimal equilibrium is constantly challenged by adverse forces which are intrinsic or extrinsic, real or even perceived, and are described as stresses [1]. Thus, stress is defined as a state of disharmony (cacostasis or allostasis) and is counteracted by an intricate repertoire of physiologic and behavioral responses which aim to maintain/reestablish the threatened homeostasis (adaptive stress response) [1]. This adaptive stress response is mediated by a complex and interconnected neuroendocrine, cellular, and molecular infrastructure which constitutes the stress system and is located in both the central nervous system (CNS) and the periphery [1, 2]. The adaptive response of each individual to stress is determined by a multiplicity of genetic, environmental, and developmental factors. Changes in the ability to effectively respond to stressors (e.g. inadequate, excessive and/or prolonged reactions) may lead to disease. Moreover, highly potent and/or chronic stressors can have detrimental effects on a variety of physiologic functions, including growth, metabolism, reproduction, and immune competence, as well as on behavior and personality development. Of note,
prenatal life, infancy, childhood, and adolescence are critical periods in the process of forming the matrix of the adaptive stress response, characterized by high plasticity of the stress system and increased vulnerability to stressors.

The stress system receives and integrates a great diversity of neurosensory (i.e. visual, auditory, somatosensory, nociceptive, and visceral), blood-borne, and limbic signals which arrive at the various stress system centers/stations through distinct pathways. Acute stress system activation triggers a cluster of time-limited changes, both behavioral and physical, which are rather consistent in their qualitative presentation and are collectively defined as the stress syndrome [1-4]. Under normal conditions these changes are adaptive and improve the chances of survival. Initially, the stimulation of the stress system components follows a stressor-specific mode; however, as the potency of the stressor(s) increases the specificity of the adaptive response decreases in order to eventually present the relatively nonspecific stress syndrome phenomenology which follows exposure to potent stressors.

Behavioral adaptation includes enhanced arousal, alertness, vigilance, cognition, focused attention, and analgesia, whilst there is concurrent inhibition of vegetative functions, such as feeding and reproduction. In parallel, physical adaptation mediates an adaptive redirection of energy and body resources. As such, increases in the cardiovascular tone, respiratory rate and intermediate metabolism (gluconeogenesis and lipolysis) work in concert to promote this redirection of vital substrates, while energy consuming functions (e.g. digestion, reproduction, growth, and immunity) are temporally suppressed. Thus, oxygen and nutrients are primarily shunted to the CNS and to stressed body site(s) where they are needed the most.

In addition to the adaptive stress response, restraining forces are also activated during stress to prevent a potential excessive response of the various stress system components [1-4]. The ability to timely and precisely develop restraining forces is equally essential for a successful outcome against the imposed stressor(s), since prolonging the mobilized adaptive stress response can turn maladaptive and contribute to the development of disease. Interestingly, the mobilization of the stress system is often of a magnitude and nature that allows the perception of control by the individual. Under such conditions, stress can be rewarding and pleasant, or even exciting, providing positive stimuli to the individual for emotional and intellectual growth and development [5]. Thus, it is not surprising that the stress system activation during feeding and sexual activity, both sine qua non functions for survival, is primarily linked to pleasure.

STRESS SYSTEM- PHYSIOLOGY AND INTERACTIONS

Neuroendocrine Effectors of the Stress Response- “The Stress System”

Although the entire CNS is directly or indirectly involved in preserving and fine-tuning the overall body homeostasis, specific areas of the brain have critical, distinct roles in orchestrating the stress response. As such, the central components of the stress system are located in the hypothalamus and the brainstem and include the parvocellular corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) and arginine-vasopressin (AVP) neurons of the paraventricular nuclei (PVN) of the hypothalamus, and the CRH neurons of the paragigantocellular and parabranchial nuclei of the medulla, as well as the locus coeruleus (LC) and other catecholaminergic, norepinephrine (NE)-synthesizing cell groups of the medulla and pons (central sympathetic nervous system) [1-4]. The peripheral limbs of the hypotalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, together with the efferent sympathetic/adrenomedullary system, constitute the peripheral components of this interconnected system.

CENTRAL STRESS SYSTEM - CRH, AVP, & CATECHOLAMINERGIC NEURONS

The central neurochemical circuitry responsible for the stress system activation forms a highly complex physiological system within the CNS, consisting of both stimulatory and inhibitory networks with multiple sites of interaction which modulate and fine-tune the adaptive stress response [1-4]. The key components of these networks are the hypothalamic CRH and AVP neurons in combination with the central catecholaminergic (LC/NE) neurons (Figure 1). The central stress system activation is based on reciprocal reverberatory neural connections.
between the PVN CRH and the catecholaminergic LC/NE neurons, with CRH and NE stimulating the secretion of each other through CRH receptor-1 (CRH-R1) and α1-noradrenergic receptors, respectively [6-8]. Of note, autoregulatory ultrashort negative feedback loops exist in both the PVN CRH and the brainstem catecholaminergic neurons [9, 10], with collateral fibers inhibiting CRH and catecholamine secretion respectively, via inhibition of the corresponding presynaptic CRH- and α2-noradrenergic receptors [11]. In addition, multiple other regulatory central pathways exist, since both CRH and catecholaminergic neurons receive stimulatory innervation from the serotoninergic and cholinergic systems [12, 13], and inhibitory input from the gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA)/benzodiazepine (BZD) and the opioid neuronal systems of the brain [14, 15], as well as by glucocorticoids (the end-product of the HPA axis) [16]. Interestingly, both α2-adrenoceptor and opiate agonists act through separate receptors on neurons in the LC, albeit sharing common post-receptor effector signaling mediated through Gi proteins [17].
CRH, a 41-amino acid peptide, was first isolated as the principal hypothalamic stimulus to the pituitary-adrenal axis by Vale et al. in 1981 [18]. The subsequent availability of synthetic CRH and of inhibitory analogues opened huge vistas for stress research. Thus, CRH and CRH-receptors were identified in numerous extra-hypothalamic sites of the brain, including parts of the limbic system, the basal forebrain, the anterior pituitary and the central arousal-sympathetic systems (LC-sympathetic systems) in the brainstem and spinal cord [19, 20]. Moreover, central administration of CRH was shown to set in motion a coordinated series of physiologic and behavioral responses which included activation of the pituitary-adrenal axis and the sympathetic nervous system (SNS), as well as characteristic stress-related behaviors [21]. Hence, it became evident that CRH plays a broader role in coordinating the stress response than had been previously suspected [3, 4]. In fact, this neuropeptide appears to reproduce the stress response phenomenology, as summarized in Table 1.

**Table 1. Behavioral and Physical Adaptation During Stress**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Behavioral Adaptation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adaptive redirection of behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased arousal and alertness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased cognition, vigilance and focused attention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suppression of feeding behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suppression of reproductive behavior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhibition of gastric motility; stimulation of colonic motility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Containment of the stress response</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical Adaptation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adaptive redirection of energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen and nutrients directed to the central nervous system and stressed body site(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altered cardiovascular tone; increased blood pressure and heart rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased respiratory rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased gluconeogenesis and lipolysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detoxification from toxic products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhibition of reproductive and growth axes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Containment of the stress response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Containment of the inflammatory/immune response</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Chrousos G.P. and Gold P.W., JAMA, 1992; 267,1244.

CRH binds to specific receptors which belong to the class II seven-transmembrane G-protein-coupled receptor superfamily of receptors (GPCRs) [22]. In addition to their wide expression throughout the brain, CRH receptors are found in a number of peripheral sites, including the adrenal medulla, prostate, gut, spleen, liver, kidney and testis. Distinct CRH receptor subtypes have been identified in humans, i.e. CRH-R1 and CRH-R2, which are encoded by distinct genes on chromosomes 17 and 7, respectively (Figure 2) [23, 24]. CRH-R1 and CRH-R2 share a 70% homology of their amino acid sequence, but exhibit unique pharmacologic profiles and are differentially expressed,
hence they appear to mediate selective actions of CRH at different target organs/tissues. CRH-R1 is widely distributed in the brain, mainly in the anterior pituitary, neocortex and cerebellum, whilst is also expressed in the adrenal gland, gastrointestinal tract, skin, ovary and testis [25]. On the other hand, CRH-R2 receptors are mainly expressed in the peripheral vasculature, skeletal muscles, gastrointestinal tract and heart, while they also exhibit a widespread distribution in subcortical structures of the brain (e.g. in the lateral septum, amygdala, hypothalamus and brain stem) [26]. Importantly, CRH-R1 is considered the only CRH-R type present in the LC, cerebellar cortex, thalamus and striatum, whereas exclusive CRH-R2 expression has been reported in the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis [27-29]. Of note, both CRH receptor genes have the ability of variant splicing, producing different isoforms for each subtype. As such, the CRH-R1 gene appears to have several splice variants (R1b, R1c, R1d, R1e, R1f, R1g and R1h) which encode proteins with altered N-terminal (CRH-R1c, CRH-R1e, CRH-R1h), intracellular (CRH-R1b, CRH-R1f) and transmembrane (CRH-R1g, CRH-R1d) segments compared to the prototypic CRH-R1a; however, their ligand-binding affinity is low and their expression in native tissues has not been fully characterized [30]. Similarly, the CRH-R2 gene has three splice variants, respectively, encoding the CRH-R2a, CRH-R2b, CRH-R2c isoforms which differ only in the extracellular N-terminus and have distinct tissue distributions. Indeed, CRH-R2a is localized in subcortical regions, including the lateral septum and the hypothalamic paraventricular and ventromedial nuclei. Conversely, CRH-R2b in rodents is primarily localized in the heart, gastrointestinal tract, skeletal muscles and in non-neural brain tissues (e.g. in cerebral arterioles and the choroid plexus), whilst CRH-R2c expression has been detected in human limbic regions [26]. This diversity of CRH receptor subtype and isoform expression is considered to play an important role in modulating the stress response by implicating locally different ligands (CRH and CRH-related peptides) and different intracellular second messengers (e.g. CRH-R2 is now recognized to play a significant role in the physiology and pathophysiology of the cardiovascular system) [22, 31].
Figure 2. Corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) receptor subtypes, splice variants and tissue distribution. CRH is considered the specific endogenous ligand for CRH-R1, while Urocortin 2 and Urocortin 3 are considered the specific endogenous ligands of CRH-R2. Urocortin 1 is considered an endogenous ligand for both CRH-R subtypes. CRH binds to CRH-R2 with an affinity that is 100-fold lower compared to the binding affinity of urocortins. CRH-R: Corticotropin-releasing hormone receptor, TM: transmembrane.

AVP is a nonapeptide produced by PVN parvocellular neurons and by the magnocellular neurons of the neurohypophysis [32]. While the AVP from the posterior pituitary is secreted into the circulation and modulates fluid and electrolyte homeostasis, AVP of PVN origin, like CRH, is secreted into the hypophyseal portal system and holds a key role in the stress response, representing the second most important modulator of pituitary ACTH secretion [32, 33]. Notably, whilst CRH appears to directly stimulate ACTH secretion, AVP and other factors (e.g. angiotensin II) have primarily synergistic or additive effects [32-35]. Indeed, AVP exhibits synergy with CRH in vivo, when these peptides are
co-administered in humans [36], by acting on a V1-type receptor (V1β, also referred as V3) and exerting its effects through calcium/phospholipid-dependent mechanisms [37]. This synergistic effect on pituitary ACTH secretion offers an alternate pathway to influence the consequent HPA axis activation at the hypothalamic level, since the secretion of CRH and AVP is further regulated by a variety of different neuropeptides, including catecholamines which stimulate CRH secretion, and ghrelin (a GH-secretagogue factor) which appears to stimulate predominantly AVP secretion [38, 39]. Similarly, leptin which is expressed in the central branch of the HPA axis can regulate both CRH and ACTH secretion acting in an autocrine/paracrine manner with most evidence indicating that it exerts an inhibitory effect on the HPA axis, although depending on the species, it may also stimulate the HPA activity [40]. Furthermore, endocannabinoids appear to negatively regulate basal and stimulated ACTH release at multiple levels of the HPA axis [41].

Interestingly, a subset of parvocellular neurons synthesize and secrete both CRH and AVP and the relative proportion of this subset is increased significantly by stress conditions [42-44]. Moreover, the terminals of the parvocellular PVN CRH and AVP neurons project to different CNS sites, including noradrenergic neurons of the brainstem and the hypothalamic nucleus of the median eminence. PVN CRH and AVP neurons also send projections to and activate pro-opiomelanocortin (POMC)-containing neurons in the arcuate nucleus of the hypothalamus. In turn, these POMC-containing neurons project reciprocally to the PVN CRH and AVP neurons, innervate LC/NE-sympathetic neurons of the central stress system in the brainstem and terminate on pain control neurons of the hind brain and spinal cord. Thus, stress system activation, via CRH and catecholamines, stimulates the hypothalamic secretion of β-endorphin and other POMC-peptides which reciprocally inhibit the stress system activity, induce analgesia ("stress-induced" analgesia) and may also influence the emotional tone (Figure 1).

It is also noteworthy that, among the multiple regulatory central pathways which influence the central stress system activity, neuropeptide Y (NPY) stimulates CRH neurons, whereas it inhibits the central SNS [45, 46]. This may be of particular relevance to changes in stress system activity in states of dysregulated food intake and obesity. Interestingly, glucocorticoids, which stimulate appetite, have been also shown to stimulate the hypothalamic NPY gene expression, while they inhibit the PVN CRH and LC/NE-sympathetic systems [47]. Of note, in addition to its appetite stimulating and anxiolytic activities, NPY can also act peripherally exerting detrimental actions on the cardiovascular system and metabolism, related to adaptation to stress [48]. On the other hand, substance P (SP) has reciprocal actions to those of NPY, since it inhibits CRH neurons [49], whereas it activates the central catecholaminergic system [50]. It is considered that, SP release is increased centrally by peripheral activation of somatic afferent fibers and, hence, may be relevant to stress system activity changes induced by chronic inflammatory and/or painful states [51]. Along with NPY and SP, a number of other neuropeptides, including the Tyr-MIF-1 family of peptides, teneurin C-terminal associated peptides (TCAP), oxytocin, cholecystokinin (CCK) and galanin, appear to be implicated in the regulation of stress-like behavior [52].

**HYPOTHALAMIC-PITUITARY-ADRENAL (HPA) AXIS**

The HPA axis is a vital component of both the central and the peripheral limb of the stress system [1, 4]. As such, HPA axis integrity and precise regulation of its function are essential characteristics of the successful adaptive response to any stressor. At the level of the hypothalamo-pituitary unit, CRH is released into the hypothalamic portal system and acts as the principal regulator of the anterior pituitary ACTH secretion [4]. As aforementioned, CRH binding on CRH-R1 of the corticotrophs is permissive for ACTH secretion, whilst AVP acts as a potent synergistic factor to CRH with little ACTH secretagogue activity by itself [32-34, 53]. Under non-stressful conditions, both CRH and AVP are secreted into the portal system in a circadian and highly concordant pulsatile fashion [54, 55]. Indeed, the HPA axis activity is characterized not only by a typical circadian rhythm, but also by an ultradian pattern of discrete pulsatile release of glucocorticoids, with a pulse of production every 1-2 hours [56]. The amplitude of the CRH and AVP pulses increases in the early morning hours, consequently resulting in increased amplitude and frequency of ACTH and cortisol secretory bursts in the systemic circulation [57, 58]. Of note, recent data indicate that various factors including age, body mass index (BMI), and gender, are individually and in some cases jointly
associated with endogenous ACTH-induced stimulation of overnight pulsatile cortisol secretion [59].

The circadian release of CRH/AVP/ACTH/cortisol in their characteristic pulsatile manner appears to be controlled by one or more CNS pacemaker systems, and these are more precisely described in the following section on the “CLOCK system” [60, 61]. These diurnal variations are perturbed by changes in light, feeding and physical activity patterns, as well as disrupted when a stressor is imposed. During acute stress, the amplitude and synchronization of both CRH and AVP secretory pulses increases, with additional recruitment of PVN CRH and AVP secretion. Furthermore, angiotensin II, various cytokines and lipid mediators of inflammation are also secreted, depending on the stressor, and act on various levels of the HPA axis to mainly stimulate its activity. Interestingly, nicotine can also induce the HPA axis via both CRH-R and AVP V(1b) receptors; hence, when CRH-R is blocked, nicotine may utilize the AVP V(1b) receptor to induce its action and increase the secretion of ACTH and glucocorticoids [62].

The adrenal cortex constitutes the principal target organ of the pituitary-derived circulating ACTH. The latter is the key regulator of glucocorticoid and adrenal androgen secretion by the zona fasciculata and zona reticularis, respectively, whilst it is also implicated in the regulation of aldosterone secretion by the zona glomerulosa [63]. Notably, existing evidence suggests that the adrenal cortisol secretion is further regulated by other hormones and/or cytokines coming from the adrenal medulla or the systemic circulation, and by neuronal signals via the autonomic innervation of the adrenal cortex (Figure 1).

Glucocorticoids are the final hormonal effectors of the HPA axis, exerting their pleiotropic effects via their ubiquitously distributed intracellular receptors (GRα and GRβ; both members of the nuclear receptor superfamily) [64]. The non-activated glucocorticoid receptor resides in the cytosol as a hetero-oligomer with heat shock proteins and immunophilins [65]. Upon ligand binding, glucocorticoid receptors dissociate from the rest of this hetero-oligomer, and subsequently homodimerize and translocate into the nucleus, where they interact with specific glucocorticoid response elements (GREs) of the DNA to transactivate or transrepress appropriate hormone-responsive genes [66]. Transactivation has been suggested as mediating most of the adverse effects of glucocorticoids, while transrepression is considered to mediate mostly anti-inflammatory glucocorticoid effects by inhibiting several inflammatory mediators/pathways (e.g. AP-1, NF-kB). Post-translational modifications of glucocorticoid receptors (e.g. phosphorylation, acetylation, ubiquitination and sumoylation) regulate the receptor stability and nuclear localization, as well as its interaction with other proteins [67-69]. Furthermore, glucocorticoid receptor activation causes changes in the stability of other mRNAs and, thus, the translation rates of several glucocorticoid-responsive proteins. Notably, glucocorticoids influence the secretion rates of specific proteins and alter the electrical potential of neuronal cells, through mechanisms that remain to be elucidated. Glucocorticoids can further induce rapid non-genomic effects, via mechanisms which are also not fully clarified yet [70]. Moreover, there are also data indicating that glucocorticoids have the ability to regulate mitochondrial functions and energy metabolism. Indeed, the presence of both GRα and GRβ in mitochondria of animal and human cells has been associated with modulation of mitochondrial functions indicating that the cross-talk of glucocorticoid receptors with mitochondria may be involved in cell survival [71, 72].

Glucocorticoids play a crucial role in the regulation of the basal HPA axis activity and in the termination of the stress response by acting at multiple levels, including extrahypothalamic regulatory centers, the hypothalamus and the pituitary (Figure 1) [73]. As such, the inhibitory glucocorticoid feedback on the ACTH secretory response limits the duration of the total tissue exposure to glucocorticoids, thus minimizing the catabolic, anti-reproductive and immunosuppressive effects of these hormones. Interestingly, a dual glucocorticoid receptor system exists in the CNS, including both type I glucocorticoid receptors (mineralocorticoid receptor) which respond to low levels of glucocorticoids and primarily act to induce activation; and the classic glucocorticoid receptor (type II) which responds to higher levels of glucocorticoids, stress-related or not, and can either dampen some systems or activate others. The negative feedback control of the CRH and ACTH secretion is mediated through type II glucocorticoid receptors.

Finally, it must be highlighted that, the glucocorticoid secretion pulsatility is among the main factors determining
the HPA axis responsiveness to stress and the transcriptional responses of glucocorticoid responsive genes [74, 75]. Data on the downstream effects of short-term fluctuations in serum glucocorticoid concentrations indicate that ultradian cortisol pulsatility can impact on the gene expression and phenotype of target cells. Importantly, pulsatile cortisol has been shown to significantly reduce cell survival due to increased apoptosis compared to continuous exposure to the same cumulative dose [76].

SYMPATHETIC/ADRENOMEDULLARY AND PARASYMPATHETIC SYSTEMS

The autonomic nervous system (ANS) provides a rapidly responsive mechanism to control a wide range of physiologic functions. As such, the cardiovascular, respiratory, gastrointestinal, renal, endocrine, and other vital systems are tightly regulated by either the SNS or the parasympathetic system or the combined activity of both [77]. Indeed, the ANS activity is typically regulated through a dual reaction mechanism, since the parasympathetic system can equally assist or antagonize most of the SNS functions by withdrawing or increasing its activity, respectively.

Sympathetic innervation of peripheral organs is derived from the efferent preganglionic fibers whose cell bodies lie in the intermediolateral column of the spinal cord. These nerves synapse in the bilateral chain of sympathetic ganglia with postganglionic sympathetic neurons, which innervate the smooth muscle cells of the vasculature, skeletal muscles, heart, kidneys, gut, adipose tissue and many other organs [78]. The preganglionic neurons are primarily cholinergic, whereas the postganglionic neurons release mostly noradrenaline. The SNS activity has an additional humoral contribution consisting of circulating epinephrine and, to a lesser extent, norepinephrine released by the adrenal medulla which can be considered as a modified sympathetic ganglion.

Moreover, a plethora of additional neurotransmitters is implicated in the regulation of the ANS activity, complementing the effects of acetylcholine and norepinephrine. Both the sympathetic and parasympathetic system contain several subpopulations of target-selective and neurochemically coded neurons which express a variety of neuropeptides and, in some cases, adenosine triphosphate (ATP), nitric oxide (NO), or lipid mediators of inflammation [79]. Interestingly, CRH, NPY, somatostatin, and galanin are colocalized in noradrenergic vasoconstrictive neurons, whereas vasoactive intestinal polypeptide (VIP) and, to a lesser extent, SP and calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) are colocalized in cholinergic neurons. In addition, the signal transmission in sympathetic ganglia is further modulated by neuropeptides released from preganglionic fibers and short interneurons (e.g. enkephalin and neurotensin), as well as by primary afferent (e.g. VIP and SP) nerve collaterals [80]. Thus, the particular combination of neurotransmitters in sympathetic neurons is markedly influenced by central and local factors which may trigger or suppress specific genes.

Interactions with Other CNS Components

The stress system not only sets the arousal level and regulates vital signs, but further interacts with other crucial CNS components, including the mesocorticolimbic dopaminergic system (“reward” system), the amygdala/hippocampus complex and the arcuate nucleus POMC neuronal system [81-83]. In turn, following activation by stress, these CNS systems act via specific neuronal pathways to modify the stress system activity, hence forming a complex reciprocal mechanism which fine-tunes the adaptive response. Of note, well-established interactions exist between the stress system and distinct CNS centers which are essential for survival, such as the thermoregulatory and appetite-satiety centers [84].

MESOCORTICOLIMBIC DOPAMINERGIC SYSTEM

The mesocortical and mesolimbic components of the dopaminergic system are highly innervated by PNV CRH neurons and the LC/NE-sympathetic noradrenergic system and, thus, are activated by CRH, catecholamines and glucocorticoids during stress. The mesocortical system contains dopaminergic neurons of the ventral tegmentum which send projections to the prefrontal cortex. Activation of these neurons appears to centrally suppress the stress system response and is implicated in anticipatory phenomena and cognitive functions [82]. Similarly, the mesolimbic system also consists of dopaminergic neurons of the ventral tegmentum. These neurons innervate the
nucleus accumbens and are considered to play a pivotal role in motivational/reinforcement/reward phenomena and in forming the central dopaminergic “reward” system [84]. Hence, euphoria and dysphoria are likely to be mediated by the mesocorticolimbic system which is considered the central target of several addictive substances (e.g. cocaine).

AMYGDALA/HIPPOCAMPUS

The amygdala/hippocampus complex is activated during stress primarily by ascending catecholaminergic neurons originating in the brain stem or by inner emotional stressors (e.g. conditioned fear) possibly from cortical association areas [83]. The amygdala nuclei constitute the principal CNS center for fear-related behaviors and their activation is important for both retrieval and emotional analysis of all relevant stored information for any given stressor. In response to emotional stressors, the amygdala can directly stimulate central stress system components and the mesocorticolimbic dopaminergic system. Interestingly, there are CRH peptidergic neurons in the amygdala which respond positively to glucocorticoids and whose activation leads to stress system stimulation and anxiety. Of note, CRH neurons in the central nucleus of the amygdala send projections to the PVN parvocellular regions and the parabrachial nucleus of the brain stem which are considered crucial for CRH-induced neuroendocrine, autonomic and behavioral effects. Moreover, CRH fibers also interconnect the amygdala with the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis and the hypothalamus [85, 86]. Conversely to the stimulatory CRH and norepinephrine effect, the hippocampus exerts a tonic and stimulated inhibitory effect on the amygdala activity and the PVN CRH and LC/NE-sympathetic systems. Indeed, the hippocampus plays an important role in shutting off the HPA stress response; hence, hippocampal atrophy or damage impairs this shut off function and can lead to prolonged HPA responses to psychological stressors [87]. These findings led to the “glucocorticoid cascade hypothesis” of stress and aging. Accordingly, Lupien et al. have shown that progressively increased salivary cortisol levels during annual exams over a 5-year period can predict reduced hippocampal volume and decreased performance on hippocampal-dependent learning and memory tasks [88]. Moreover, Refojo et al. have demonstrated, through specific CRH-R1 deletions in glutamatergic, GABAergic, dopaminergic and serotonergic cells, that CRH-R1 absence in forebrain glutamatergic circuits reduces anxiety and impairs neurotransmission in the amygdala and hippocampus, whilst elective CRH-R1 deletion in midbrain dopaminergic neurons results in increased anxiety-like behavior, suggesting a bidirectional model for the CRH-R1 role in anxiety [89].

ARCULATE NUCLEUS PROOPIOMELANOCORTIN (POMC) NEURONAL SYSTEM

Reciprocal innervation exists between opioid peptide (POMC-producing) neurons of the hypothalamic arcuate nucleus and both the CRH/AVP-producing and LC/NE-noradrenergic neurons [6, 81]. Stress system activation stimulates hypothalamic release of POMC-derived peptides, including α-melanocyte-stimulating hormone (α-MSH) and β-endorphin, which reciprocally inhibit the activity of both the central stress system components. Moreover, through projections of these neurons to the hind brain and the spinal cord, "stress- induced analgesia" is achieved by inhibition of the ascending pain pathways (Figure 1).

THERMOREGULATORY CENTER- TEMPERATURE REGULATION

It is well-established that the activation of the LC/NE-noradrenergic and PVN CRH systems by stressors increases the body core temperature. Intracerebroventricular administration of both norepinephrine and CRH can cause temperature elevation, possibly through prostanoid-mediated actions on the septal and hypothalamic temperature-regulating center. CRH has also been shown to partly mediate the pyrogenic effects of the three major inflammatory cytokines, i.e. tumor necrosis factor-α (TNF-α), interleukin 1 (IL-1), and interleukin-6 (IL-6), following stimulation by lipopolysaccharide (LPS; endotoxin, a potent exogenous pyrogen) [84].

Interestingly, psychological stress appears to also significantly affect the central thermoregulatory system, inducing a rise in body core temperature through activation of thermoregulatory sympathetic premotor neurons in the medullary raphe region [90]. This psychogenic fever can last for as long as the underlying psychological stressor(s) exist(s) [91], whilst in animal stress models it can be reduced by systemic injection of an antagonist of the β3-
adrenoreceptor [90-92]. Of note, the latter is the
adrenoreceptor subtype which is abundantly expressed in
brown adipose tissue (BAT) and mediates BAT
thermogenesis [93].

APPETITE/SATIETY CENTERS- APPETITE
REGULATION

Stress is directly implicated in the regulation of appetite by
influencing the central appetite/satiety centers in the
hypothalamus. As such, CRH can acutely cause anorexia,
whilst NPY (a potent orexigenic neuropeptide) also
stimulates CRH secretion via Y1 receptors, probably to
counter-regulate its own actions. In parallel, NPY also
inhibits the LC/NE-sympathetic system and activates the
parasympathetic system, with both effects decreasing
thermogenesis and facilitating digestion and storage of
nutrients [45, 46]. On the other hand, leptin (an adipocyte-
derived satiety hormone/adipokine), inhibits the secretion of
hypothalamic NPY, whilst it also stimulates arcuate nucleus
POMC neurons which secrete α-MSH (a potent
anorexigenic and thermogenic peptide, acting through
specific melanocortin receptors type 4; MC4) (Figure 3).
Importantly, apart from its appetite enhancing effects, NPY
appears to be critical for maintaining stress responses,
although its range of actions in the rest of the body and its
exact role as a stress mediator remain to be fully clarified
[48]. Of note, existing evidence indicates that stress-
induced eating behavior in obese women with binge-eating
disorders is characterized both by stronger motivation to eat
(as manifested by a fast-initial eating rate) and by absence
of satiety perception (as manifested by a lower deceleration
of the eating rate) [94]. Finally, recent data support the direct
implication of glucocorticoids in appetite regulation [95].
Figure 3. Schematic representation of interactions between the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, adipose tissue and hypothalamic appetite-satiety centers. ARC: arcuate nucleus; PVN: paraventricular nucleus; LHA: lateral hypothalamic area; CRH: corticotropin-releasing hormone; ACTH: adrenocorticotropic hormone (corticotrophin); POMC: proopiomelanocortin; NPY: neuropeptide Y; AgRP: agouti related peptide; α-MSH: α-melanocyte-stimulating hormone; Y1: neuropeptide Y receptor type 1; MC4R: melanocortin receptor type 4; TRH: thyrotropin-releasing hormone; MCH: melanin concentrating hormone; OXY: oxytocin. Activation is represented by solid green lines and inhibition by dashed red lines.

CLOCK System

More recently, it became evident that the stress system is interconnected and communicates at multiple levels with an additional vital system, defined as the CLOCK system, which generates the body circadian rhythms and regulates a wide range of physiologic functions [60]. This system is comprised by a main central hypothalamic component and
numerous associated extra-hypothalamic, peripheral components [60, 96].

The central CLOCK system component is located in the suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN) of the hypothalamus and acts as a “master” CLOCK under the influence of light/dark input through the eyes (Figure 4) [96]. Indeed, light/dark information can travel through the retinohypothalamic tract (RHT; a photic neural input pathway implicated in the regulation of circadian rhythms in mammals). As such, this information travels from the retina, and specifically from the photosensitive retina ganglion cells, to the SCN. Subsequently, SCN neurons of the central CLOCK system send efferent projections: (i) to the other CNS sites [e.g. to the PVN, medial preoptic area (MPA) and dorsomedial nucleus (DMH) of the hypothalamus and to the pineal gland] to transfer timing information, regulate melatonin and pituitary hormone secretion and control sleep, food intake and body temperature; and (ii) to ANS centers (sympathetic and parasympathetic) [96, 97]. As a result, all these basic physiologic functions of the body follow circadian rhythm patterns under the control of the central CLOCK system which facilitates the entrainment of these circadian rhythms to the daily light/dark cycle and essentially to the rotation of earth (Figure 4) [98, 99]. Notably, an important intracellular signaling pathway which couples light to entrainment of the mammalian “master” CLOCK is mediated via the p42/44 mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) pathway and mitogen- and stress-activated protein kinase 1 (MSK1; a downstream target of the MAPK cascade) [100].

**Figure 4.** Central CLOCK synchronizes the peripheral CLOCKS and regulates peripheral organ activities via neural and humoral interactions. Light/dark information travels via the retinohypothalamic tract (RHT) from the retina (specifically from the retina ganglion cells which are intrinsically photosensitive) to the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) where efferent neurons: (i) transfer timing information to other parts of the CNS, such as the paraventricular nucleus (PVN), medial preoptic area (MPO) and dorsomedial nucleus (DMH) of the hypothalamus and the pineal gland; and (ii) affect the autonomic nervous system (sympathetic and parasympathetic); in order to regulate the...
secretion of pituitary hormones and melatonin, which in turn control basic physiologic functions, including regulation of sleep, food intake and body temperature. DMV: dorsal motor nucleus of vagus. [Adapted from Nader, N, Chrousos, GP, Kino T. Trends Endocrinol Metab 2010;21:277].

The extra-hypothalamic, peripheral components of the CLOCK system are located in all other organs/tissues, including brain centers beyond the SCN [98, 101]. Interestingly, in order to generate intrinsic circadian rhythms, the central and peripheral CLOCKs utilize almost the same transcriptional regulatory machinery [98, 102]. A central role in this machinery is played by two specific transcription factors, i.e. the circadian locomotor output cycles kaput (Clock; a histone acetyltransferase) and the brain-muscle-arnt-like protein 1 (Bmal1; the heterodimer partner of Clock) transcription factor, which both belong to the basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) PER-ARNT-SIM (PAS) superfamily of transcription factors [96].

During the day, the Clock/Bmal1 interaction leads to transcriptional activation of two principal clock genes, i.e. the Per (Period 1,2,3) and Cry (Cryptochrome 1,2) gene, resulting in high levels of these transcripts. The Per and Cry proteins, after heterodimerization, translocate to the nucleus and interact with the Clock/Bmal1 complex, thus inhibiting their own transcription. During the night, the Per/Cry repressor complex is degraded and the Clock/Bmal1 complex can then activate a new cycle of transcription [103]. This entire cycle lasts approximately 24 hours and results from a combination of transcriptional and post-translational negative feedback loops, where Per and Cry proteins periodically suppress their own expression. Notably, post-translational modification and degradation of circadian clock proteins appear to play crucial roles in determining the circadian periodicity of the CLOCK [104], whilst rhythmic alterations in 3',5'-cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) signaling can determine central CLOCK properties, including amplitude, phase and period [105]. In addition, a number of other candidate CLOCK mediators, such as Timeless, Dec1, Dec2, Rev-erba, retinoic acid receptor-related orphan receptor α (RORα) and E4bp4, appear to play further roles in this system which are not fully explored yet [106, 107].

Importantly, the central (master) CLOCK can synchronize the circadian rhythm of peripheral CLOCKs via both humoral and neural connections which remain to be further clarified [99]. Thus, destruction of the central CLOCK can revoke the synchronization of peripheral CLOCKs in different organs/tissues, while the circadian rhythm of each peripheral CLOCK is still retained. The latter suggests that peripheral CLOCKs exhibit a relative autonomy from the central CLOCK.

The circadian rhythm which characterizes the fluctuation of circulating glucocorticoid levels is well-established, with peak levels in the early morning and a nadir in the late evening in humans [108]. It is now evident that the light-activated central CLOCK system is orchestrating the daily rhythmic release of glucocorticoids by regulating the HPA axis activity via efferent connections from the SCN to the PVN CRH/AVP-neurons (Figure 5) [99, 109]. In addition, splanchnic innervation to the adrenal medulla via the aforementioned SCN-ANS axis also contributes to the circadian glucocorticoid secretion and resets the local adrenal clock via modulating adrenal sensitivity to ACTH through effects of epinephrine and other secretory products of the adrenal medulla, such as NPY (Figure 5) [110].
Figure 5. The light-activated central CLOCK located in the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN) is orchestrating the daily rhythmic release of glucocorticoids by influencing the activity of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis through efferent connections from the SCN to the CRH/AVP-containing neurons of the PVN. Additionally, splanchnic nerve innervation to the adrenal medulla via the SCN-ANS axis also contributes to circadian glucocorticoid secretion and resets the adrenal local clock through modulating the adrenal sensitivity to ACTH by the action of epinephrine. In turn, secreted glucocorticoids reset and phase-delay circadian rhythm of the peripheral CLOCKs by stimulating the expression of several CLOCK-related genes; this is particularly important for temporal adjustment of the body's activity against stress. The peripheral CLOCKs also regulate the effects of glucocorticoids in local tissues through interactions between Clock/Bmal1 and glucocorticoid receptors, providing a local counter regulatory feedback loop to the effect of central CLOCK on the HPA axis. CRH: corticotropin-releasing hormone; AVP: arginine vasopressin; PVN: paraventricular nucleus; Clock: circadian locomotor output cycles kaput transcription factor; Bmal1: brain-muscle-arnt-like protein 1 transcription factor (the heterodimer partner of Clock). [Adapted from Nader, N, Chrousos, GP, Kino T. Trends Endocrinol Metab 2010;21:277].
Along with these central mechanisms, experimental evidence supports the existence of a peripheral clock machinery which is intrinsic to the adrenal gland and may also underlie the circadian regulation of the glucocorticoid rhythm [111-114]. As such, glucocorticoid biosynthesis is also closely linked with the local adrenal oscillator by clock-controlled expression of steroidogenic acute regulatory protein (StAR; the rate-limiting step of steroidogenesis). In turn, rhythmic StAR expression promotes a daily oscillation in adrenal steroidogenesis, thus contributing to the generation of a robust circadian glucocorticoid rhythm in the systemic circulation [115].

Reciprocally, the HPA axis affects the circadian rhythm of the CLOCK system through glucocorticoids. Glucocorticoids are considered to exert their effects on peripheral CLOCKs in almost all organs/tissues, but not on the central CLOCK in the SCN. In support of this, glucocorticoid receptors are not expressed in the SCN [116]. Glucocorticoids reset the peripheral CLOCKs via influencing the expression of several clock-related genes (e.g. Per1 and Per2) in both peripheral tissues (e.g. in the liver, kidney and heart) and in certain CNS sites (e.g. in the amygdala) in a GRE-dependent manner (Figure 5) [117-119]. Interestingly, acetylation of the glucocorticoid receptors at multiple lysine residues in their hinge region can lead to repression of their transcriptional effects on several glucocorticoid responsive genes either through reducing binding of glucocorticoid receptors to GREs, or by altering the translocation of the receptor into the nucleus, or both. Of note, Clock/Bmal1 acetylates glucocorticoid receptors at these lysine residues, hence regulating the transcription of glucocorticoid responsive genes [69].

Overall, strong evidence indicates that there is bidirectional crosstalk between the CLOCK system and the HPA axis at the level of peripheral target organs/tissues, whereas the master CLOCK in the SCN retains its intrinsic circadian rhythm independently of HPA axis activation by external or internal stimuli. The aforementioned findings suggest that the CLOCK system acts as a reverse-phase negative regulator of glucocorticoid action in target organ/tissues, potentially by antagonizing the biological glucocorticoid effects through synchronizing the peak glucocorticoid concentrations to coincide with the peak glucocorticoid resistance at the target organs/tissues [96]. Importantly, this protective feedback loop which acts as an intrinsic safety valve against over-exposure to glucocorticoids becomes decoupled when glucocorticoid secretion is stimulated by stress. Over a prolonged period of time, such a disruption in the synchronization/coupling between the HPA axis activity and the circadian glucocorticoid receptor acetylation could create a sustained/chronic stress-related hypercortisolism (mild or even functional hypercortisolism) which promotes the development of various pathologic conditions, including metabolic and cardiovascular disorders [60, 120-123].

**Stress System- Endocrine Axes Interactions**

The stress system is tightly interconnected with all the major endocrine axes, including the reproductive, growth and thyroid axis. This ensures that the activity of the endocrine system is rapidly regulated in a coordinated and precise way in order to serve the adaptive stress response and maximize the chances of survival against the imposed stressor(s).

**REPRODUCTIVE AXIS**

Although the observation that stress can impact negatively on the reproductive function traces back to antiquity, the exact pathophysiologic and molecular mechanisms which mediate this effect still pose a research challenge [124-126]. The reproductive system, both in females and males, is inhibited at all levels by various components of the HPA axis (Figure 6). As such, CRH suppresses the gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) neurons directly and indirectly via enhancing β-endorphin secretion by the arcuate POMC neurons. Recent data indicate that CRH-R1 mediates, at least in part, the effects of restraint acute-stress on the reproductive axis, whilst antalarmin (a selective CRH-R1 antagonist) can abolish these effects [127]. In addition, glucocorticoids exert inhibitory effects on GnRH neurons, pituitary gonadotrophs and directly on the gonads, whilst also rendering target organs/tissues resistant to sex steroids [128, 129]. Thus, steroidogenesis is directly inhibited at both the ovaries and testes, with concomitant
inhibition of the pulsatile GnRH secretion from the hypothalamus. Notably, certain pro-inflammatory circulating cytokines (e.g. IL-6) can also suppress the reproductive function at multiple levels, providing a link between inflammatory stress and reproductive dysfunction [130].

Figure 6. Schematic representation of the interactions between the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and the reproductive and growth axes. Chronic hyperactivation of the stress system may lead to both osteoporosis and metabolic syndrome. CRH: corticotropin-releasing hormone; GnRH: gonadotropin-releasing hormone; ACTH: adrenocorticotropic hormone (corticotrophin); LH: luteinizing hormone; FSH: follicle-stimulating hormone; GHRH: growth hormone releasing hormone; STS: somatostatin; GH: growth hormone; SmC: somatomedin C. Activation is represented by solid green lines and inhibition by dashed red lines.
In women these suppressing effects HPA axis on reproduction are responsible for the hypothalamic amenorrhea of stress which is manifested under various conditions of prolonged/chronic stress, including anxiety, depression, eating disorders, and chronic excessive exercise [131]. Similarly, in men these HPA axis effects result in decreased libido and hypo-fertility [132]. Of note, in addition to stress-induced testosterone decrease, direct effects of stress on the seminiferous epithelium have also been reported [133].

Moreover, the presence of CRH and its receptors in the female and male reproductive system suggests the presence of a local reproductive CRH system [134, 135]. Existing evidence supports the role of this local CRH system in the physiology and pathophysiology of reproduction, highlighting its implication in several reproductive functions as an additional autocrine/paracrine modulator. Ovarian CRH is primarily localized in theca cells and in luteinized cells of the stroma, mediating ovulation and luteolysis processes [136]. Furthermore, ovarian CRH is also potentially implicated in the premature ovarian failure observed in women exposed to high psychosocial stress [137]. In addition, intrauterine CRH appears to play a critical role in mechanisms responsible for embryo implantation and maintenance of pregnancy by killing activated T-cells and regulating the expression of carcinoembryonic antigen-related cell adhesion molecule-1 (CEACAM1), respectively [133, 138].

Both epidemiologic and experimental data indicate that adverse intrauterine stressors (e.g. abnormal trophoblast invasion, deficient remodeling of spiral arteries with high-resistance placental vessels and subsequent placental dysfunction) may lead to preterm labor, fetal growth restriction and pre-eclampsia. Notably, all these conditions are characterized by increased CRH levels both in the maternal circulation and in the fetus; although it is still unclear whether this CRH increase is causally related to or only a consequence of the underlying pathophysiology. Importantly, elevated CRH levels and abnormally increased cortisol in the fetus are recognized as predisposing risk factors of adult disease, including insulin resistance, cardiometabolic complications and psychiatric disorders [139]. Indeed, adverse intrauterine stressors, as well as maternal prenatal stress, anxiety and depression can impact on the fetal programming and lead to development of chronic disease later in life (e.g. type 2 diabetes, cardiovascular disease, and neurodevelopmental disorders) [140-142]. Interestingly, maternal gestational stress may also lead to low birth weight which, in turn, appears associated with increased plasma cortisol levels in adult life and risk for developing metabolic syndrome [121, 143].

It is noteworthy that, the third trimester of pregnancy by itself constitutes a condition characterized by hypercortisolism of a degree similar to that observed in severe depression, anorexia nervosa, and mild Cushing’s syndrome, whilst it is the only known physiological state in humans which exhibits increased CRH levels in the circulation that are high enough to directly cause HPA axis activation [144-146]. This circulating CRH has a placental origin and, although it is bound with high affinity to CRH-binding protein, its circulating free fraction is sufficient to explain the observed escalating hypercortisolism when the CRH-binding protein plasma levels start to gradually decrease after the 35th week of pregnancy [147, 148].

A model for fetal programming by altered placental function and/or glucocorticoid overexposure has been proposed. According to this model, prenatal maternal stress reduces the activity of the placental 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 2 (11β-HSD 2; an enzyme which metabolizes cortisol to its inactive form, i.e. cortisone), hence allowing the high circulating levels of maternal glucocorticoids to enter the fetal circulation [149]. Additional molecular mechanisms which are implicated in the programming effects of fetal stress and exposure to increased glucocorticoid levels include the epigenetic changes in target chromatin, affecting the tissue-specific expression of glucocorticoid receptors [139]. As such, excess glucocorticoid exposure in early life can alter tissue glucocorticoid signaling in a permanent way, which, although it may confer short-term adaptive benefits, in the long-term increases the risk of later life disease [139].
Finally, it must be noted that the interaction between CRH and the gonadal axis appears to be bidirectional [132]. Indeed, studies have documented both the presence of estrogen response elements in the promoter area of the CRH gene and direct stimulatory estrogen effects on CRH gene expression [150]. This implicates the CRH gene and, hence, the HPA axis, as a target of ovarian steroids and a potential mediator of gender-related differences in the HPA axis activity and the overall stress response [151]. On the other hand, the activated estrogen receptor interacts with and, on occasion, potentiates the c-jun/c-fos heterodimer which mediates several cytokine effects. Furthermore, estrogen appears to stimulate adhesion molecules and their receptors in immune and immune accessory cells, thus offering a possible explanation as to why autoimmune diseases afflict more frequently females than males.

GROWTH AXIS

The growth axis is also inhibited at various levels during stress (Figure 6). Prolonged activation of the HPA axis leads to suppression of growth hormone (GH) secretion and inhibition of somatomedin C (SmC) and other growth factor effects on their target tissues by glucocorticoids [152, 153], presumably via inhibition of the c-jun/c-fos heterodimer. However, acute transient elevations of GH concentrations in plasma may occur at the onset of the stress response, as well as after acute administration of glucocorticoids, potentially mediated through GRE-stimulated GH expression [154]. In addition to the direct effects of glucocorticoids which play a key role in the suppression of growth observed under prolonged stress, increased somatostatin (STS) secretion caused by CRH which results in inhibition of GH secretion, appears to also contribute to the stress-related suppression of the growth axis (Figure 6) [155]. Redirection of oxygen, nutrients and vital substrates to the brain and other stressed organ/tissues where they are needed most in the context of the adaptive stress response is the apparent teleology for the suppressive effects of stress on growth.

Interestingly, psychosocial dwarfism is a term that has been used to describe severe childhood/adolescent growth arrest and/or delayed puberty due to emotional deprivation and/or psychologic harassment [156-158]. Decreased GH secretion that is reversible after separation of the child from the responsible environment is a characteristic finding in this condition, which is further associated with a spectrum of behavioral abnormalities, including depression and disturbed eating behaviors. This form of growth arrest was first studied in infants housed in foundling homes or orphanages, who exhibited decreased growth and high mortality rates. Although deficient nutrition may contribute to this failure to thrive, it has been shown that in these infants’ weight gain is also independent of food intake, whilst a caring and attentive environment improved both their growth rate and psychological profile. Little is known about the HPA axis activity in infants/children with this condition; however, it is suggested that chronic activation of the HPA axis is implicated, thus explaining the other endocrine abnormalities observed in these children.

It must be also noted that, premature infants are at increased risk for delayed growth and development, particularly after prolonged hospitalization in the intensive care nursery. This is known as reactive attachment disorder of infancy and exhibits similarities to psychosocial dwarfism. The key role that the quality of parental care plays on later growth, development and behavior has been also shown in nonhuman primates which are socially organized in extended families, such as the common marmoset (a small primate species) [159]. Finally, infantile malnutrition is characterized by hypercortisolism, decreased responsiveness to CRH, incomplete dexamethasone suppression, growth arrest and thyroid function test changes reminiscent of the euthyroid sick syndrome as will be discussed in the following section [2, 160]. These abnormalities can be restored following nutritional rehabilitation [2, 160].

THYROID AXIS

Stress-related inhibition of thyroid axis activity has also been documented (Figure 7). Chronic HPA axis activation is associated with decreased production of thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) and inhibited conversion of the relatively inactive thyroxine (T4) to the more biologically active triiodothyronine (T3) in peripheral tissues (a condition described as the "euthyroid sick" syndrome) [161-163]. Although the exact mechanism(s) underlying these effects have not been fully clarified, increased circulating glucocorticoid levels are considered to mediate the stress-induced suppression of the thyroid axis which serves a desired energy conservation during the adaptive stress.
response. Indeed, existing evidence suggests decreased efficacy of TRH in stimulating TSH release in patients with hypercortisolism and in healthy subjects after glucocorticoid administration, which is dose-dependent [161, 164]. Interestingly, even a single dose of glucocorticoids (1-2 mg of dexamethasone) can cause an acute decrease in pulsatile TSH production in healthy men [165], whilst mildly elevated cortisol plasma levels induced by timed cortisol infusions can also decrease the pulsatile TSH secretion by 50% [166]. Of note, in Cushing’s syndrome patient’s cortisol excess decreases TSH secretion by diminishing its pulsatile release, while surgically cured patients exhibit elevated non-pulsatile TSH release [163]. Moreover, in cases of hypercortisolism-induced TSH-decrease, the circulating free-T4 levels can remain within normal limits, suggesting that the biological activity of TSH may be increased potentially through altered posttranslational processing of the oligosaccharide chains of the TSH molecule [161, 163, 167]. Finally, in the case of inflammatory stress inhibition of TSH secretion and enhanced somatostatin production may be mediated, at least in part, by effects of cytokines on the hypothalamus and/or the pituitary [168, 169].
Figure 7. Schematic representation of the interactions between the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and the thyroid and immune function. CRH: corticotropin-releasing hormone; STS: somatostatin; TRH: thyrotropin releasing hormone; TSH: thyroid stimulating hormone; T4: thyroxine; T3: triiodothyronine; TNF-α: tumor necrosis factor-α; IL-1: interleukin-1; IL-6: interleukin-6. Activation is represented by solid green lines and inhibition by dashed red lines.

Stress System- Metabolism

In the context of the adaptive stress response, glucocorticoids exert primarily catabolic effects as part of a generalized effort to utilize every available energy resource against the imposed stressor(s). Thus, glucocorticoids increase hepatic gluconeogenesis and glucose plasma levels, induce lipolysis (although they favor abdominal and dorsocervical fat accumulation) and cause protein degradation at multiple tissues (e.g. in skeletal muscles, bone and skin) to provide amino acids which can be utilized as an additional substrate for oxidative pathways [1, 170, 171]. In parallel to their direct catabolic actions, glucocorticoids also antagonize the anabolic actions of GH, insulin and sex steroids on their target organs/tissues [1, 170, 171]. This shift of the metabolism to a catabolic state by the activated HPA axis normally reverses upon retraction of the imposed stressor(s). However, chronic HPA axis activation can have a range of detrimental effects, including increased visceral adiposity, suppressed osteoblastic activity, decreased lean body mass (decreased muscle and bone mass causing sarcopenia and osteopenia) and insulin resistance (Figure 8) [1, 170, 171].

Figure 8. Schematic representation of the detrimental effects of chronic stress on adipose tissue, bone and muscle metabolism. GH: growth hormone. Activation is represented by solid green lines and inhibition by dashed red lines.
In addition, metabolic homeostasis is also centrally affected by the neuroendocrine crosstalk between the central stress system components, HPA axis and the CNS centers which control appetite/satiety and energy expenditure (Figure 3) [172]. It is a common observation that acute stressful situations are frequently associated with anorexia and marked suppression of food intake. Indeed, CRH stimulates the POMC neurons of the arcuate nucleus which, via α-MSH release, elicit anorexigenic signals and increase thermogenesis [173]. The anorexigenic effects of CRH appear to involve the lateral septum or the bed nucleus of the stria terminalis and are probably mediated through CRH-R2 receptors [174]. Anorexia nervosa represents an interesting example of the implication of the stress system in the regulation of appetite and energy intake. As such, anorexia nervosa can be regarded as a complex condition of chronic stress which is associated with HPA axis dysregulation and suppression of multiple other endocrine axes (e.g. gonadal, growth and thyroid axes), whilst it is characterized by low levels of insulin and leptin and high levels of ghrelin and NPY [175-177]. Interestingly, high cortisol and NPY levels have been shown to exhibit an association with disordered eating psychopathology, independently of BMI [178]. Moreover, existing evidence also indicates that insulin and leptin play important roles in the regulation of central pathways related to food reward [179]. However, it should also be noted that, under normal conditions glucocorticoids enhance the intake of carbohydrates and fat and inhibit energy expenditure by stimulating the secretion of NPY at the hypothalamus. NPY additionally inhibits the LC-norepinephrine system and activates the parasympathetic system, facilitating digestion and storage of nutrients [180-182].

The association between chronic, experimentally induced psychosocial stress, hypercortisolism and the development of a metabolic syndrome-like state with increased incidence of atherosclerosis, has been documented in cynomolgus monkeys. In such animal studies, HPA axis activation induced by chronic stress and the consequent hypercortisolism has been shown to result in visceral obesity, insulin resistance and suppression of GH secretion, hence promoting the development of the metabolic syndrome phenotype (physical and biochemical) [170]. Similar findings have been documented in humans where epidemiological data suggest strong associations between chronic stress exposure and metabolic disease [170, 183-185]. Indeed, chronic HPA hyperactivation in individuals with a genetic predisposition exposed to a permissive environment may lead to visceral fat accumulation and decreased lean body mass (muscle and bone mass) as a result of chronic hypercortisolism and stress-induced low GH secretion and hypogonadism [1, 120, 184, 185]. Moreover, hypercortisolism can directly cause insulin resistance in peripheral target organs/tissues which appears to be proportional to both the glucocorticoid levels and to glucocorticoid sensitivity of the target organs/tissues, as suggested by studies on polymorphisms of the glucocorticoid receptor gene [186]. This can cause reactive compensatory insulin hypersecretion and further increased visceral obesity and sarcopenia, resulting in type 2 diabetes, dyslipidemia and hypertension [1, 120].

More recently, chronic stress has been also associated with a low-grade inflammatory state which follows fat accumulation, especially visceral [187-189]. Thus, obese patients typically exhibit increased circulating levels of pro-inflammatory adipokines and cytokines (e.g. leptin, resistin, TNF-α and IL-6) and decreased levels of anti-inflammatory adipokines (e.g. adiponectin and omentin), creating an adverse adipokine profile which strongly correlates to the metabolic syndrome manifestations [187-189]. Indeed, this obesity related chronic inflammatory stress can cause a range of detrimental effects on peripheral tissues/organs (e.g. on the liver, skeletal muscles and cardiovascular system), promoting enhanced secretion of acute-phase reactants (e.g. fibrinogen and C-reactive protein), insulin resistance, hypertension, atherosclerosis, hypercoagulability, thrombosis and cardiac dysfunction [170, 187-189]. Of note, glucocorticoids have also been shown to induce both insulin and leptin secretion, thus further contributing to the leptin-resistant state which characterizes obesity.

Interestingly, because intracellular glucocorticoid levels are regulated by 11β-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1 (11β-HSD1; an enzyme which converts inactive cortisol to cortisol), research has focused on tissue specific changes in 11β-HSD1 expression and activity in obesity and insulin resistance. As such, it has been shown that the global 11β-HSD1 activity, as measured by urinary corticosteroid metabolite analysis, is impaired in obesity [190, 191], whilst
selective 11β-HSD1 inhibitors are in development as novel therapeutic approaches for obesity and metabolic syndrome [192].

It is also noteworthy that, obstructive sleep apnea (OSA) appears also associated with an adverse metabolic profile consisting of increased visceral adiposity and insulin resistance, as well as elevated levels of circulating stress hormones and pro-inflammatory adipokines/cytokines [193-197]. Indeed, obesity, particularly central/visceral, and insulin resistance may contribute to OSA development, whilst, in turn, OSA may promote fat accumulation and reduce insulin sensitivity, potentially through progressive elevation of stress hormones and cytokines (e.g. increased cortisol, noradrenaline, TNFα and IL-6 plasma levels) [196]. Thus, a vicious cycle appears to fuel the association between OSA, chronic stress and metabolic dysregulation.

Increased LC/NE sympathoadrenal system activity, including the central LC/NE neurons, is also an important pathophysiologic component of chronic stress which appears to contribute to the development of impaired glucose tolerance and to the particularly increased risk of acute cardiovascular events (e.g. myocardial infarction and stroke) [198-200]. Finally, chronic stress disorders exhibit a strong positive correlation to a number of behavioral changes with an adverse effect on physical activity (e.g. sedentary lifestyle and increased hours of sleep) and dietary habits (e.g. increased portion size, binge eating and alcohol consumption); hence leading to further weight gain and potentially to dysregulation of glucose and lipid metabolism (Figure 9) [187, 201].
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Figure 9. Schematic representation of the proposed links between stress and dysregulation of metabolic homeostasis. Chronic stress induces hyperactivation of both the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis and the sympathetic nervous system (SNS) which together with distinct changes in certain health behaviors can progressively lead to the development of obesity (particularly central/visceral) and metabolic syndrome manifestations.

Moreover, the circadian CLOCK system is also implicated in the pathophysiologic mechanisms linking stress and metabolic syndrome [60, 120]. Notably, most of the metabolic phenotypes associated with dysregulation of the CLOCK system and the HPA axis overlap [96]. As aforementioned, the Clock-mediated repression of the glucocorticoid receptor transcriptional activity oscillates during the day in inverse phase to the normal diurnal rhythm of the HPA axis, whilst stress disrupts this synchronization/coupling. As such, even mild elevations of circulating cortisol levels during the evening, as frequently observed in chronic stress conditions, can cause a type of
It must be highlighted that, the links between stress and metabolic dysregulation are also particularly significant during fetal life, childhood and adolescence which constitute periods of heightened vulnerability to intense acute and/or chronic stress. As aforementioned, both early nutritional stress (even during fetal or early infant life) and low birth weight are associated with higher risk for obesity and obesity-related cardio-metabolic disease later in life, highlighting the impact on fetal programming of adiposity and its consequences [203, 204]. Moreover, most of the children who experienced chronic stress, anxiety, depression or post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) exhibit higher cortisol and catecholamine plasma levels than in the resting state, especially during evening hours [205]. These children are also at higher risk to develop obesity, hypertension and other related comorbidities later in adulthood [206, 207]. As observed in adults, both biological and behavioral pathways mediated the links between chronic stress and obesity in children (Figure 9) [204].

Finally, prolonged stress can also have a significant negative impact on bone metabolism (Figure 8). Indeed, chronic stress can shift the balance of bone remodeling in favor of bone resorption due to both direct effects of increased glucocorticoid and IL-6 plasma levels on bones and indirect effects resulting from the suppression of the growth, gonadal and thyroid axes, thus leading over time to osteopenia and potentially manifestations of osteoporosis [1, 187].

Stress System- Immune System Interactions

EFFECTS OF THE STRESS SYSTEM ON THE IMMUNE/INFLAMMATORY CASCADE

HPA axis activation exerts primarily suppressing effects on the inflammatory/immune response, since both the innate and adaptive immunity are modulated by glucocorticoids with cortisol suppressing the immune system at multiple levels (Figure 7) [1, 208, 209]. At the cellular level, the main anti-inflammatory effects of glucocorticoids include changes in leukocyte trafficking and function, decreased production of cytokines and other mediators of inflammation, and inhibition of pro-inflammatory signaling pathways in target organs/tissues [63, 208, 209]. For example, glucocorticoid-mediated suppression of TNF-α and IL-1β production appears to be the basis for their efficacy in relieving symptoms of rheumatoid arthritis, inflammatory bowel disease, and psoriasis. Indeed, cytokine signaling is affected by glucocorticoids through multiple mechanisms, including direct transcriptional repression of cytokine gene expression by activated glucocorticoid receptors [209-211]. Of note, transcriptional interference between activated glucocorticoid receptors and other transcription factors, such as the nuclear factor-kB (NF-kB) and activator protein-1 (AP-1; a key transcription factor mediating inflammatory responses and pro-inflammatory cytokine production), at various cytokine promoters is a typical example of repression through protein-protein interactions [209]. However, not all cytokines are suppressed, since anti-inflammatory cytokines (e.g. IL-10) are up-regulated by glucocorticoids in accord to the immunosuppressive activities of these hormones [211].

A large infrastructure of anatomical, chemical and molecular connections further facilitates the close communication between the neuroendocrine and immune systems. As such, the efferent sympathetic/adrenomedullary system is also considered too closely participate in interactions between the HPA axis and the immune/inflammatory cascade since: (i) it is reciprocally connected with the CRH system; (ii) it receives and transmits humoral and nervous immune signals from the periphery; (iii) it densely innervates both primary and secondary lymphoid organs; and (iv) it reaches all sites of inflammation via the postganglionic sympathetic neurons [212, 213]. The innate immune system constitutes one of the SNS targets with adrenergic signaling directly affecting pro-inflammatory pathways [214, 215]. Furthermore, similar to what is noted for the HPA axis, a neuroendocrine immune feedback loop appears to exist in order to allow the peripheral immune activation to signal to the CNS and activate the central stress system, thus allowing the CNS to sense and regulate inflammation in the periphery [216-218]. Hence, when activated during stress, the ANS exerts its own direct effects on immune organs/cells which can be immunosuppressive (e.g. inhibition of natural killer cell activity) or both...
immunopotentiating and immunosuppressive by inducing secretion of IL-6 in the systemic circulation [219, 220]. Indeed, the SNS can exert both pro- and anti-inflammatory effects with various factors determining which of these effects will prevail, including the underlying state of the respective target tissue. For example, it has been shown that an already activated inflammatory pathway can be downregulated by adrenergic signaling, whereas in non-activated immune cells adrenergic signals can activate the pro-inflammatory cascade [220]. In light of these findings, the stress system effects on the immune system can be more accurately characterized as immunomodulating, rather than immunosuppressing.

In addition to affecting antigen presentation, cytokine secretion and leukocyte proliferation and trafficking, the principal stress hormones, *i.e.* glucocorticoids and catecholamines, further modulate the balance between T helper-1 (Th1) versus Th2 responses (Figure 10). It is now established that, both glucocorticoids and catecholamines directly inhibit the production of type 1 cytokines (*e.g.* IL-12, IL-2, TNF-α and INF-γ) which enhance cellular immunity and Th1 formation, whilst conversely favor the production of type 2 cytokines (*e.g.* IL-10, IL-4, IL-13) which induce humoral immunity and Th2 activity [221]. Interestingly, glucocorticoids may inhibit Th1 cell activity also indirectly through manipulating dendritic cell subsets by regulating the expression of Toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2) [211, 222]. In accord with these effects, during immune challenges stress causes an adaptive Th1 to Th2 shift in order to protect the organs/tissues against the potentially destructive actions of pro-inflammatory type 1 cytokines and other products of activated macrophages. Of note, this potentially protective role of the stress-induced Th2 shift against overshooting of cellular immunity often complicates pathologic conditions in which either cellular immunity is beneficial (*e.g.* carcinogenesis and infections) or humoral immunity is deleterious (*e.g.* allergy and autoimmune diseases) [223]. Indeed, HPA axis hyperactivation has been associated with increased susceptibility to both infectious agents and tumors. Thus, relapse of mycobacterial infections, progression of HIV infection and infections following major traumatic injuries or burns have been associated to excessive HPA axis responses and a sustained/prolonged Th2 shift. Similarly, several studies have documented a higher incidence of tumor growth and metastases in relation to chronic stress, highlighting the role of cellular immunity in surveillance and eradication of tumor cells [224].

More recent evidence indicates that, stress can influence the immune response in an even more complicated way. Indeed, although stress hormones systemically inhibit Th1/pro-inflammatory responses and induce a Th2 shift, in certain local responses these hormones can induce pro-inflammatory cytokine production and activation of the peripheral CRH-mast cell-histamine axis [223]. This constitutes an additional mechanism via which the stress system may be implicated in the pathogenesis of chronic inflammation and immune-related disease [223]. Adding to the complexity of the interactions between stress and the immune system, there are also data indicating that glucocorticoids may impact on Th17 differentiation and function through molecular mechanisms which have not been fully clarified [225, 226]. Th17 cells constitute a newer effector T-cell subset which secrete IL-17 and appear to play an important role in autoimmune processes, thus providing another potential link between stress and autoimmune disease [210].

Finally, the CLOCK system induces circadian fluctuations of several cytokines (*e.g.* IFN-γ, IL-1β, IL-6 and TNFα) and of natural killer cell and T- and B-lymphocyte populations [227, 228]. Hence, it appears that the central CLOCK system, by regulating glucocorticoid secretion, can also influence the peripheral CLOCK system of immune cells. Moreover, the CLOCK system can also modulate immune functions by regulating the actions of endogenous glucocorticoids on various components of the immune system via interactions between the Clock/Bmal1 transcription factors and glucocorticoid receptors.

**EFFECTS OF THE IMMUNE SYSTEM ON THE STRESS SYSTEM**

The immune system exerts its surveillance/defense function constantly and mostly unconsciously for the individual. It has been well-established that immune/inflammatory stimuli/insults (*e.g.* infectious diseases, accidental or operative trauma and active autoimmune processes) are associated with concurrent HPA axis activation. More recently, it also became evident that immune cytokines and other humoral mediators of inflammation are potent activators of the central stress-responsive neurotransmitter systems, constituting the afferent limb of the feedback loop via which the immune/inflammatory system and the CNS
communicate (Figure 10). Indeed, through this pathway, the peripheral immune apparatus signals the brain to participate in maintaining immunological and behavioral homeostasis [108, 229].

Figure 10. Schematic representation of interactions between the stress and immune system. LC/NE: locus coeruleus/norepinephrine-sympathetic system; SPGN: sympathetic postganglionic neurons; CRH: corticotropin-releasing hormone; AVP: arginine vasopressin; ACTH: adrenocorticotropic hormone (corticotrophin); PAF: platelet activating factor; NE/E: norepinephrine/epinephrine; Th1: T-helper lymphocyte 1; Th2: T-helper lymphocyte 2. Activation is represented by solid green lines and inhibition by dashed red lines.

The three main pro-inflammatory cytokines, i.e. TNF-α, IL-1 and IL-6, are produced in this order and in a cascade-like fashion at inflammatory sites, whilst by entering the systemic circulation they can cause HPA axis stimulation in vivo, alone or in synergy with each other [230-232]. These effects can be significantly blocked with CRH-neutralizing
antibodies, prostanoid synthesis inhibitors and glucocorticoids. In addition, all of these three cytokines can directly stimulate hypothalamic CRH secretion in vitro, an action which may also be suppressed by glucocorticoids and prostanoid synthesis inhibitors [233-235]. Similarly, IL-2 can stimulate ACTH secretion indirectly and potentially directly [236-238]. Of note, IL-1β also increases the hypothalamic and anterior pituitary expression of leukemia-inhibitory factor (LIF) which is a member of the IL-6 family and a potent ACTH secretagogue [239, 240]. The specific effects mediated by CRH and LIF in HPA axis regulation during inflammation remain under investigation. Existing evidence suggests that central CRH appears to be more critical in mediating ACTH release in response to shock or alcohol rather than to LPS [241]. This is further supported by data showing that CRH knockout animals have nearly normal HPA axis reaction to inflammatory challenges [242]. Furthermore, LIF deficient animals exhibit markedly lower POMC and ACTH responses to inflammation induced by high LPS doses [243], whilst exogenous LIF injection restores the pituitary POMC expression in LIF knockout animals [244]. In vitro studies have also shown that LIF greatly potentiates the CRH effects on POMC transcription. Therefore, although CRH is required for rapid increase of ACTH synthesis and secretion in response to any nonspecific stressful challenge, it appears that LIF is important for maintaining a sustained HPA axis activation during inflammatory stress. However, mice deficient in both CRH and LIF still demonstrate robust ACTH and corticosterone responses to inflammation, probably due to abundant TNFα, IL-1β and IL-6 activation observed in the hypothalamus and pituitary of these animals [245].

A body of evidence also suggests that IL-6, which constitutes the main endocrine/circulating cytokine, plays the primary role in immune stimulation of the human HPA axis, particularly in the long-term. Indeed, IL-6 has been shown to be an extremely potent activator of the HPA axis in humans [168, 220, 246]. Notably, the ACTH and cortisol elevations attained by IL-6 are well above those observed with maximal stimulatory doses of CRH, suggesting that parvocellular AVP and other ACTH secretagogues are additionally stimulated by this cytokine. Moreover, high doses of IL-6 have been shown to further stimulate peripheral elevations of AVP, presumably as a result of a stimulatory effect on magnocellular AVP-secreting neurons [247]. This suggests that IL-6 may be involved in the pathogenesis of the syndrome of inappropriate antidiuretic hormone secretion (SIADH) which is observed during the course of infectious/inflammatory disease or during trauma.

Some of the activating effects of inflammation on the HPA axis may be exerted indirectly through stimulation of the central catecholaminergic pathways by pro-inflammatory cytokines and other humoral mediators of inflammation. Furthermore, activation of peripheral nociceptive, somatosensory and visceral afferent fibers could lead to stimulation of both the catecholaminergic and CRH neuronal systems via ascending spinal pathways. Of note, in chronic inflammatory states which may be characterized by chronic central elevations of SP, impaired HPA axis responsiveness to stimuli or stress may be observed, potentially due to the suppressive effect of SP on CRH neurons [49, 84]. This impairment has been documented in AIDS, African trypanosomiasis and extensive burns in humans and also in animal models of chronic inflammation [84, 248].

In addition to the three main pro-inflammatory cytokines, other mediators of inflammation may also participate in the HPA axis activation. Indeed, several eicosanoids, platelet activating factor (PAF) and serotonin show potent CRH-releasing properties [249, 250]. However, it is still unclear exactly which of these effects are endocrine and which are paracrine. Although delayed, direct effects on pituitary ACTH secretion have been documented by most of the above cytokines and mediators of inflammation [168, 251, 252], whilst direct effects of these substances on adrenal glucocorticoid secretion appear to be also present [253]. Notably, both prostaglandins and nitric oxide (NO), which are key mediators of inflammation and immunity, have been found to impact on ACTH and cortisol secretion. Experimental evidence suggests opposite actions of prostaglandins generated by cyclooxygenase (COX) and NO synthesized by the inducible NO synthase (iNOS; a pro-inflammatory enzyme which dysregulates NO production) in the LPS-induced HPA axis response, with prostaglandins stimulating the ACTH response to endotoxin, while NO inhibits it [254, 255]. Further data on the role of prostaglandins in the HPA axis response to LPS indicate that induced prostaglandin synthesis, mediated via Cox-2, contributes to the delayed HPA axis activation, whereas constitutive prostaglandin synthesis, mediated preferentially via Cox-1, is involved in the early HPA response [256].
An intriguing aspect of the immune response is that CRH is also secreted peripherally at inflammatory sites (peripheral or immune CRH) by postganglionic sympathetic neurons and by cells of the immune system (e.g., macrophages and tissue fibroblasts) [257]. The secretion of immune CRH has been studied both in experimental animal models of inflammation [257], and in patients with rheumatoid arthritis [258], Hashimoto thyroiditis and other inflammatory illnesses [259]. Glucocorticoids and somatostatin have been shown to suppress this immune CRH secretion [257]. Of note, mast cells are considered as the primary target of immune CRH where, along with SP, it acts via CRH-R1 receptors causing degranulation. As a result, histamine is released causing vasodilation, increased vascular permeability and other manifestations of local inflammation. Hence, locally secreted CRH triggers a peripheral CRH-mast cell-histamine axis, which has potent pro-inflammatory properties, whereas central CRH alleviates the immune response [108, 260].

Another interesting topic relating to the interactions between the immune and stress system is the study of critically ill patients with systemic inflammation. To date, the results of studies investigating the adrenal response to critical illness have been conflicting. As such, the initial phase of critical illness is characterized by excessive release of ACTH and cortisol as a result of increased CRH/AVP secretion and cytokine production. Although the magnitude of the increase of cortisol plasma levels may not correlate linearly with the illness severity, some studies have documented that patients with the highest circulating cortisol levels had also the highest mortality [261]. However, the ACTH and cortisol responses may diverge during prolonged critical illness, with high cortisol plasma levels persisting despite ACTH suppression, thus suggesting that cortisol secretion is further stimulated by alternative pathways, other than hypothalamic CRH, potentially involving factors such as AVP, atrial natriuretic peptide (ANP), endothelin and a variety of cytokines (especially IL-6) [261, 262]. Notably, in severe critical illness a relative corticosteroid insufficiency may also develop, characterized as critical illness-related corticosteroid insufficiency (CIRCI) [263]. The exact mechanisms of adrenal suppression in critical illness remain largely unclear [264-266]. Cytokines and adipokines derived from the adipose tissue may influence the normal synthesis and release of ACTH and cortisol, as well as the activity of glucocorticoid receptors. Indeed, TNF-α and peptides derived from immune cells, such as the corticostatins, may compete with ACTH on its receptor, negatively influencing adrenal cortisol secretion and inducing tissue resistance to glucocorticoids [264-266].

### Stress System- Gastrointestinal Function

The stress system activity is implicated in the regulation of gastrointestinal function and exhibits a strong association with gastrointestinal illness [267-269]. Interestingly, data from a study in patients with chronic painful gastrointestinal disorders revealed a high incidence of physically and sexually abused women in this patient population [270]. It has been also shown that sexually abused girls exhibit chronic HPA axis activation, similarly to patients with melancholic depression [271]. Thus, it has been proposed that CRH hypersecretion may constitute a hidden link between the symptomatology of chronic painful gastrointestinal disorders and a history of physical and/or psychological abuse [270, 272].

Indeed, increasing evidence suggests that CRH is involved in the mechanisms by which stress affects the gastrointestinal function. Of note, several studies have identified immunoreactive CRH and urocortin, as well as CRH-R1 and CRH-R2 in the human colonic mucosa [273, 274]. During acute stress, PVN CRH, independently of the associated HPA axis stimulation, induces both inhibition of gastric emptying and stimulation of colonic motor function by alterations in the ANS activity (Figure 11) [275]. It is considered that inhibition of the vagus nerve activity at the dorsal vagal complex results in selective inhibition of gastric motility, while stimulation of the sacral parasympathetic system activity results in selective stimulation of colonic motility, with the latter possibly mediated through CRH projections of the Barrington nucleus which is part of the LC complex [276, 277]. At the receptor level, it appears that stress-induced delayed gastric emptying involves the central medullary CRH-R2 receptors and also the peripheral CRH-R2 receptors in the gastrointestinal tract, whereas the CRH-R1 subtype seems to mediate the colonic motor responses (e.g. stimulation of distal colonic transit) [273]. Hence, CRH may play a role in mediating the gastric stasis which is associated with the stress of surgery and the increased IL-1 levels during surgery and the immediate postoperative period, whilst it is also implicated in the...
stress-induced colonic hyper-motility of the irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) [274, 275, 278]. Moreover, the colonic contraction in IBS patients can activate the LC-noradrenergic system, thus, creating a vicious cycle which may explain the chronicity of this condition [275]. Importantly, CRH can also modulate the visceral pain hypersensitivity in IBS [274, 279]. Existing evidence suggests contrasting roles of the two CRH-R subtypes in visceral nociception, with CRH-R1 being involved in the pro-nociceptive effects of visceral pain, while CRH-R2 mediates an anti-nociceptive response [274].

![Schematic representation of stress system effects on gastrointestinal function. CRH: corticotropin-releasing hormone; ACTH: adrenocorticotropic hormone (corticotrophin); PVN: paraventricular nucleus; LC: locus coeruleus. Activation is represented by solid green lines and inhibition by dashed red lines.](image-url)
Additional studies exploring the underlying mechanisms mediating the stress-induced stimulation of colonic motility further revealed that restraint stress in conscious rats can stimulate vagal efferent nerves innervating the proximal colon via central CRH-R1 receptors, resulting in 5-hydroxytryptamine (5-HT) release from the proximal colon. This released 5-HT activates 5-HT_3 receptors located at the vagal afferent fibers, whilst, in turn, this 5-HT_3 receptor activation stimulates colonic motility via the vagovagal reflex [280]. Thus, it appears that the primary target of restraint stress may be the enterochromaffin cells of the proximal colon [280].

Although acute stress stimulates colonic motor function via a central CRH, it seems that colonic motility is decreased following chronic stress through an adaptation mechanism which does not implicate reduced sensitivity to central CRH [281]. Apart from the colonic motility, the delayed gastric emptying induced by acute stress can be also completely restored following chronic homotypic stress in rats [282]. The latter appears mediated by a mechanism involving oxytocin expression upregulation in the hypothalamus which, in turn, attenuates CRH expression [282]. More recently, additional mechanisms have been proposed as potential mediators of stress-induced changes in the gastrointestinal motility, implicating changes in circulating ghrelin and ghrelin-O-acyltransferase levels, as well as the neuropeptide S (NPS; a neuropeptide mainly produced by neurons in the amygdala and between the Barrington nucleus and the LC) [283, 284].

In addition to altering gastrointestinal motility patterns, stressors can further exert profound effects in several other aspects of the gastrointestinal function. As such, it has been shown that stress-induced activation of central and peripheral CRH receptors can cause dysfunction of the intestinal barrier, increase the gastrointestinal permeability and promote inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) relapse [267-269]. Finally, chronic activation of the HPA axis and/or the LC/NE-sympathetic system may induce depletion or tachyphylaxis of the opioid-peptide system responsible for stress-induced analgesia, which may account for the observed lower pain threshold for visceral sensation in patients with functional gastrointestinal disorders [267-269].

**STRESS: ENDOCRINE PATHOPHYSIOLOGY**

In the context of the adaptive stress response, the mobilization of different stress system components must be of intensity that correlates to the presented threat by the imposed stressor(s) and of duration that allows a timely return to the desired steady state (homeostasis) [1-4]. Thus, a successful stress system response should be both of magnitude to overpower the homeostatic threat posed by the stressor(s) without overshooting and of time-limited duration which would render its accompanying catabolic, anti-reproductive, antigrowth and immunosuppressive adaptive effects transient and temporarily beneficial rather than sustained and detrimental [1-4]. The dose-response relationship between the stress system response activity and the potency of any given stressor can be depicted in a simplified way by a sigmoidal curve which starts from the basal stress system activity levels at rest and plateaus at a maximum activity level when all available adaptive forces have been mobilized (Figure 12A). Of note, this sigmoidal response curve varies in each individual; however, there is a relatively limited, narrow range between basal and maximum activity which characterizes the normal reactive individuals. Therefore, dose-response curves of stress activity extending outside the two extremes of this normal range denote pathologic stress responses, with higher and lower-shifted curves denoting excessive and defective reactions, respectively (Figure 12A). Similarly, the dose-response relationship between the sense of well-being or performance ability of each individual and the stress system activity can be represented by an inverted U-shaped curve which covers the normal range of the stress system activity. Shifts to the left or the right of this range result in hypoarousal or hyperarousal (anxiety) states, respectively, with a suboptimal sense of well-being and/or diminished performance (Figure 12B). The following sections present briefly the principles which characterize the pathophysiology of chronic hyper- and hypo-activation of the stress system in relation to the aforementioned stress system organization and physiology.
Chronic Hyperactivation of the Stress System - Pathophysiology

Chronic stress system hyperactivation leads to the syndromal state which Selye first described in 1936 [1, 2, 285]. As previously discussed, CRH coordinates the neuroendocrine, autonomic, immune and behavioral adaptation during stress, hence increased and prolonged CRH production is regarded to play a pivotal role in the pathogenesis of the chronic stress syndrome and its clinical manifestations, including endocrine, cardio-metabolic, immune and psychiatric complications [1, 2].

In this context, the syndrome of adult melancholic depression represents a typical example of dysregulation of the generalized stress response, leading to chronic, dysphoric hyperarousal, with hyperactivation of both the HPA axis and the SNS and relative immunosuppression [286, 287]. Indeed, these patients exhibit increased cortisol excretion, decreased plasma ACTH response to exogenous CRH and elevated CRH levels in the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) [288, 289]. These findings suggest that melancholic depression correlates with distinct hypersecretion of CRH which may participate in the initiation and/or perpetuation of a vicious pathophysiologic cycle. As such, patients with depression history were found on autopsy to have a significantly increased number of CRH neurons in the PVN [290], whilst imaging studies have also documented marked hippocampal atrophy and a small and hypo-functioning section of the medial frontal lobe (Figure 13) [291, 292]. Whether and to what extent this pathology is genetically determined, or environmentally induced, or both is still the subject of intense research.
Figure 13. Schematic representation of the central neurocircuitry and its altered activity implicated in acute stress and melancholic depression (chronic stress system hyperactivation). Hyperfunctioning amygdala, hypofunctioning hippocampus and/or hypofunctioning mesocorticolimbic system (MCLS) could be associated with chronic hyperactivation of the PVN CRH-AVP system and predispose to melancholic depression. PVN: paraventricular nucleus; CRH: corticotropin-releasing hormone; AVP: arginine vasopressin. Activation is represented by solid green lines and inhibition by dashed red lines.

Similarly to the prototypic example of melancholic depression, a broad spectrum of other clinical conditions have been associated with various degrees of increased and prolonged stress system activation (Table 2), including panic anxiety disorders [293]; obsessive-compulsive disorder [294]; childhood physical/sexual abuse [295]; chronic alcohol abuse [296]; alcohol/narcotic withdrawal [297, 298]; anorexia nervosa [175, 299, 300]; central (visceral) obesity [170, 301]; diabetes (especially when complicated by diabetic neuropathy) [302, 303].
### Table 2. Clinical Conditions Associated with Altered Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal (HPA) Axis Activity and Dysregulation of the Adaptive Stress Response.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Increased HPA axis activity</th>
<th>Decreased HPA axis activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chronic stress</td>
<td>Adrenal insufficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melancholic depression</td>
<td>Atypical/Seasonal depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anorexia nervosa</td>
<td>Chronic fatigue syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obsessive-compulsive disorder</td>
<td>Fibromyalgia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panic disorder</td>
<td>Hypothyroidism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excessive exercise (obligate athleticism)</td>
<td>Nicotine withdrawal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic active alcoholism</td>
<td>Post glucocorticoid therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol and narcotic withdrawal</td>
<td>Post Cushing's syndrome cure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>Postpartum period</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central obesity (Pseudo-Cushing syndrome)</td>
<td>Post chronic stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood sexual abuse</td>
<td>Rheumatoid arthritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperthyroidism</td>
<td>Premenstrual tension syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cushing's syndrome</td>
<td>Climacteric depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnancy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Chrousos G.P. and Gold P.W., JAMA, 1992; 267,1244.

### Chronic Hypoactivation of the Stress System - Pathophysiology

Chronic stress system hypoactivation with reduced CRH secretion may result in pathologic hypoarousal which characterizes another group of pathophysiologic states (Table 2). Patients with atypical and seasonal depression or chronic fatigue syndrome appear to belong in this category [304, 305]. As such, periods of depression (winter period) of the former and periods of marked fatigue in the latter are characterized by prolonged HPA axis hypoactivity. Similarly, patients with fibromyalgia exhibit decreased urinary free cortisol excretion and frequently complain of fatigue [306]. Interestingly, amongst the clinical manifestations of hypothyroidism is atypical depression, with hypothyroid patients exhibiting evidence of CRH hyposecretion [307].

Moreover, smoking withdrawal has been documented as a state associated with decreased cortisol and catecholamine secretion [308, 309]. Decreased CRH secretion in the early period of nicotine abstinence in habitual smokers could explain the hyperphagia, low metabolic rate and weight gain frequently observed during attempts to stop smoking. It should be also noted that, the clinical presentation of Cushing’s syndrome with atypical depression, hyperphagia, weight gain, fatigue and anergia is consistent with suppression of CRH neurons by the associated hypercortisolism. Periods following cure of hypercortisolism or cessation of chronic stress, as well as the postpartum period are also associated with suppressed PVN CRH secretion and decreased HPA axis activity (Table 2) [1, 2, 142, 310, 311].

Finally, a defective HPA axis response to inflammatory stimuli can reproduce the glucocorticoid-deficient state and may lead to relative resistance to infections and neoplastic disease, but increased susceptibility to autoimmune/inflammatory diseases [108, 224, 312, 313]. Indeed, such findings were documented in studies utilizing an interesting pair of near-histocompatible, highly inbred rat strains, *i.e.* the Fischer and Lewis rats which were genetically selected out of Sprague-Dawley rats for their resistance or susceptibility, respectively, to inflammatory disease [314, 315]. In accord with the findings of animal studies in these models, an increasing body of clinical evidence indicates that rheumatoid arthritis patients may exhibit a mild form of central hypocortisolism, with reduced 24-hour cortisol excretion, less pronounced diurnal rhythm of cortisol secretion and blunted adrenal responses to surgical stress [316, 317]. Taken together these findings suggest that HPA axis dysfunction can play a role in the development and/or perpetuation of autoimmune disease,
rather than being an epiphenomenon. This rationale may also explain the high incidence of autoimmune disease in the period after cure of hypercortisolism and during the postpartum period, as well as in untreated or under-replaced adrenal insufficiency [224].

**Potential Role of CRH Antagonists in Clinical Practice**

Based on the implication of stress system dysregulation in clinical manifestations of a wide spectrum of diseases, research has focused on identifying novel therapeutic approaches targeting this underlying pathophysiologic link. As such, small molecular weight antagonists of CRH-R1 and CRH-R2 have been developed which can be absorbed orally and cross the blood brain barrier, thus exhibiting a therapeutic potential in the treatment of disorders linked to disturbances of CRH-regulated pathways [30, 318].

Antalarmin is a non-peptidic prototype CRH antagonist which binds with high affinity to CRH-R1. This small lipophilic pyrrolopyrimidine agent decreases the activity of both the HPA axis and the LC/NE-sympathetic system, blocking a variety of manifestations associated with anxiety and the development/expression of conditioned fear [319]. In addition, antalarmin can suppress neurogenic inflammation, stress-induced peptic ulcers and colonic hyperfunction, whilst it also blocks CRH-induced skin mast cell degranulation [30, 320-324]. Importantly, chronic administration of antalarmin is not associated with glucocorticoid or catecholamine deficiency and permits adequate HPA axis and LC/NE responses to severe stress [325]. Overall, data from several studies that tested the efficacy of such CRH-R1 antagonists indicate a potential therapeutic role in various disorders, including melancholic depression, chronic anxiety, narcotic withdrawal, IBS, allergic reactions and autoimmune/inflammatory disease. Indeed, clinical studies with the CRH-R1 antagonists NBI-30775/R121919 and NBI-34041 have reported promising outcomes in depression and anxiety [326].

In addition, it is considered that CRH-R2 antagonists could potentially play a role in the treatment of atypical depression, chronic fatigue syndrome, fibromyalgia and stress-induced anorexia [30, 327, 328]. However, the available data on effects of selective CRH-R2 antagonists are relatively limited [30]. Identifying specific CRH-R2 neuronal pathways which are implicated in various disease states in humans and better understanding of the role of CRH-related peptides, such as urocortin (Ucn) I, UcnII, UcnIII and urotensin I, is expected to shed more light on the overall therapeutic potential of CRH-R2 antagonists. Notably, urocortin appears to participate in the regulation of anxiety levels, learning, memory and body temperature, whilst it may also exhibit neuro- and cardio-protective properties [329-331]. Hence, there is also increasing research interest on the role of these agents and the effects of CRH-R2 inhibition in neuro-inflammation and cardiovascular function [330-336].

Finally, an additional study showed that astressin-2B (a selective CRH-R2 antagonist) attenuated stress-induced bacterial growth and prevented severe sepsis in an animal model [337], indicating that CRH-R2 inhibition may be protective against stress-induced pneumococcal disease and suggesting that the therapeutic potential of such agents may be even broader.

**CONCLUSION**

Despite the multiple challenges in studying the stress system and identifying the exact mechanisms underlying stress-induced pathology, stress research represents an important field of biomedical research with high translational value for targeted prevention and/or management of a broad spectrum of clinical conditions. It is now recognized that strong interdependent links exist between neurobehavioral/psychoemotional states relating to stress and certain “classic” disease states relating to autoimmunity, inflammation, malignancy, as well as metabolic, reproductive and growth disorders. Understanding the organization and integration of specific stress system pathways and neurochemical networks which facilitate these links constitutes a significant step forward in exploring the pathogenesis of stress-related complications. To date, a compelling body of experimental, epidemiologic and clinical evidence strongly supports the significant impact of acute and chronic stress on both physical health and emotional well-being, highlighting the need for further ongoing research in this field.
REFERENCES

27. Chalmers DT, Lovenberg TW, De Souza EB. Localization of novel corticotropin-releasing factor receptor (CRF2) mRNA expression to specific subcortical nuclei in rat brain:


70. Song IH, Buttgereit F. Non-genomic glucocorticoid effects to provide the basis for new drug developments. Mol Cell Endocrinol 2006;246:142-146.


78. Burmstock G, Miller P. Structural and chemical organization of the autonomic nervous system with special reference to noradrenergic non-cholinergic transmission, in Autonomic

www.EndoText.org


186. Chrousos GP, Kino T. Glucocorticoid signaling in the cell. Expanding clinical implications to complex human


244. Chesnokova V, Melmed S. Leukemia inhibitory factor mediates the hypothalamic pituitary adrenal axis response to inflammation. Endocrinology 2000;141:4032-4040.


266. Charmandari E, Kino T, Ichijo T, Chrousos GP. Generalized glucocorticoid resistance: clinical aspects, molecular


